Powder Indexing of Difficult Cells using the Indexing Options within Topas

ACA, Orlando, May 28, 2005





Thanks to Alen Coehlo and Arnt Kern for writing and fostering Topas, and for endless help in using it.

> http://powder.physics.sunysb.edu pstephens@sunysb.edu



USE OF POWDER DIFFRACITON TO SOLVE A CRYSTAL STRUCTURE (series of conceptually elementary recipes, depends on lots of computing) Data Chemical knowledge of contents

- 1. Start with the best data you can get (but no better).
- 2. Get a list of accurate diffraction peak positions.
- 3. Figure out a lattice that explains the peaks.
- 4. Guess the space group (systematic absences, # molecules).
- 5. Search for the best place to put the molecule(s), best conformation of the molecule, best agreement data *vs.* model.
- 6. Refine, refine, refine, refine, ...

At any stage, you can be forced to jump back to any stage.

Indexing: The Problem

A crystal is defined by three translation vectors, **a**, **b**, and **c**, which produce a reciprocal lattice **a***, **b***, and **c***.

Each possible reflection (*hkl*) is associated with a reciprocal lattice vector

 $Q = ha^* + kb^* + lc^*$,

such that the lattice planes which cause that reflection are separated by a distance d = 1/|Q|.

In a powder experiment, one only measures the magnitude of \boldsymbol{Q} , so the 3D reciprocal lattice gets compressed into one dimension.

One can shuffle the equations around to a form that is more convenient,

 $1/d^2 = Ah^2 + Bk^2 + Cl^2 + Dkl + Ehl + Fhk.$

So the problem of indexing a powder diffraction pattern becomes:

Given a list of *d* spacings, find a set of numbers {*A*,*B*,...,*F*} so that you can assign (*hkl*) to each *d*-spacing in the equation above...

(in the presence of experimental error, perhaps with some rogue extra *d*-spacings)

There is a pretty good collection of public domain programs for that purpose: TREOR, ITO, DICVOL, Crysfire suite.

This is a data-driven enterprise, and that means that your diffractometer has to be well aligned, errors due to sample displacement, transparency have to be controlled.

Bragg-Brentano



Focus diverging beam. Moderate resolution, sensitive to sample displacement, transparency

I'm illustrating with synchrotron data, which is not a particularly rigorous test of indexing algorithms.

Accurate peak positions require fitting model lineshape to observed data. (Here using Topas) (Data from NSLS X3B1)







First tried with ITO. Serious dominant zone problem.

The first 15 observed peaks are fit by a single zone (2D slice of reciprocal space): *a* = 23.413 Å, *c* = 21.190 Å, ß = 103.86°

Is it monoclinic with b = 4.707 Å? No.





Some months later, at a conference I bumped into Arnt Kern and Frank Stowasser, who popped my diffraction lines into Topas.

It decisively spit out the answer in a few seconds!

2nd example. Small molecule from Sara Wishkerman, BGU I srael. One form known from single crystal, 2nd polymorph only powder.



But attempts to find the third axis by hand failed. (This is the last time I'll bother to index anything without Topas.) Without hesitation, Topas spits out space group C2/c a = 62.424Å, b = 3.849Å, c = 14.180Å, $\beta = 104.40^{\circ}$



4-methoxy 3-nitro benzaldehyde Form II



4-methoxy 3-nitro benzaldehyde Form II





seed index_lam 1.149854 Bravais_Triclinic_sg s										
load index_th2 { 1.129713 2.259535 3.389577 4.51994944 5.6507616 6.782125	1) 2) 82 1	9 82) 0	P-1 7.310 P2 7 	1 0 2245.4 5 4185.9 1. 4.626	183.47 5 00 18.341	5.4220 7.1 16 58.3182	1597 58.46 2 3.9316 9	594 86.558 90.000 84	8 88.194 .489 90.000	
7.91415071 9.04695034 10.1806364 11.3153229 (51 more lines) 21.3459 21.4153 21.5917301 }	1 1 1 1	0 -1 -1 -1	7 0 -1 1	4.599 4.577 4.566 4.560	4.577 4.560	-0.000	14.433 14.487	14.433 14.487	0.001	
	1 1 0 1	-1 -1 0 -1	-2 2 13 -3	4.527 4.516 4.486 4.463	4.516 4.486 4.462	0.000 -0.000 -0.001	14.629 14.727 14.803	14.629 14.727 14.805	-0.000 0.000 0.002	
	1 1 1 0	-1 0 0 1	3 -7 8 11	4.447 4.437 4.410 4.383	4.437 4.410	0.000 -0.000	14.892 14.983	14.892 14.983	-0.000 0.000	
	1 0 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1	-4 10 4 -5	4.377 4.367 4.357 4.272	4.377 4.357 4.272	0.001 0.000 0.000	15.096 15.166 15.468	15.095 15.166 15.467	-0.002 -0.000 -0.001	

No such thing as c-centered triclinic, so a quick adjustment gives ->

Reduce to primitive triclinic a = 4.2225Å, b = 4.7725Å, c = 58.547Å a = 91.707°, ß = 85.527°, ? = 106.344°

cf. V. Vand *et al.* (1949), using a Frevel focusing camera

Table 4. Silver soaps : parameters of the unit cell at 20° C.

Soap	Silver caproate	Silver caprylate	Silver caprate	Silver laurate	Silver myristate	Silver palmitate	Silver stearate
A*	0.2213	0.2200	0.2193	0.2198	0.2189	0.2192	0.2196
B^*	0.2528	0.2493	0.2502	0.2496	0.2489	0.2486	0.2502
c*	0.05056	0.04078	0.03396	0.02920	0.02562	0.02277	0.02054
α*	80° 16'	78° 42′	77° 53'	77° 1'	76° 32'	76° 23'	76° 1'
β*	81° 11′	84° 11′	85° 22'	86° 35'	87° 39'	88° 58'	89° 28'
γ (mean)	80° 4′	79° 38′	78° 57′	77° 53'	78° 23'	77° 3'	76° 1'
a (A.)	4.588	4.621	4.646	4.653	4.663	4.682	4.693
b (A.)	4.016	4.078	4.072	4.097	4.102	4.128	4.120
c (A.)	20.41	$25 \cdot 24$	30.31	35.33	40.30	45.32	50.35
α	101° 12'	102° 23′	103° 9′	103° 51′	104° 9'	104° 13′	$104^{\circ} \ 35'$
ß	$102^{\circ} 28'$	97° 48′	96° 57′	95° 59′	95° 3'	94° 7'	93° 59'



Structure solved with PSSP.

I'm not ready to discuss it in detail.



Conclusions:

- 1) Get the best data you can.
- 2) Use the best software you can.